

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ALEXIS CASTILLO,

No. C 05-00284 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
and PERRY HOLLIS,

Defendants.

We, the jury in the above-entitled action, unanimously find as follows:

1. Has plaintiff Alexis Castillo proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant Perry Hollis used excessive force on plaintiff?

_____Yes _____No

If the answer to Question 1 is "Yes," please proceed to Question 2 through 3. If the answer to Question 1 is "No," you should not complete Questions 2 through 3. The foreperson should sign and date the form.

2. Has plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the use of excessive force by Officer Hollis was a result of an official policy of defendant City and County of San Francisco?

_____Yes _____No

1 3. What damages has plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence after
2 consideration of any failure to mitigate damages?

3 A. Past and future medical care: \$ _____

4 B. Past loss of wages: \$ _____

5 C. Past and future pain and suffering: \$ _____

6 D. Nominal damages: \$ _____

7
8 *Please sign, date and return this form.*

9
10
11 Dated:

FOREPERSON